

The Brauer-Manin Obstruction on Algebraic Stacks

Chang Lv

State Key Laboratory of Information Security (SKLOIS),
Institute of Information Engineering (IIE),
Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)

Joint work with Han Wu

Nov. 23, 2023

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation

- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

On integer factorization

- Let $N = pq$ be an RSA modulus. Want to factor it ...
- Let $E_{r,N} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx$ elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . It is known that:
 - can find a very small r such that $E_{r,N}$ has rank one (easy), and
 - can use a non-torsion point (hard) $P \in E_{r,N}(\mathbb{Q})$ to factor N (just gcd).
- The problem reduces to find P : algorithm to find rational points on varieties.

On integer factorization

- Let $N = pq$ be an RSA modulus. Want to factor it ...
- Let $E_{r,N} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx$ elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . It is known that:
 - can find a very small r such that $E_{r,N}$ has rank one (easy), and
 - can use a non-torsion point (hard) $P \in E_{r,N}(\mathbb{Q})$ to factor N (just gcd).
- The problem reduces to find P : algorithm to find rational points on varieties.

On integer factorization

- Let $N = pq$ be an RSA modulus. Want to factor it ...
- Let $E_{r,N} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx$ elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . It is known that:
 - can find a very small r such that $E_{r,N}$ has rank one (easy), and
 - can use a non-torsion point (hard) $P \in E_{r,N}(\mathbb{Q})$ to factor N (just gcd).
- The problem reduces to find P : algorithm to find rational points on varieties.

On integer factorization

- Let $N = pq$ be an RSA modulus. Want to factor it ...
- Let $E_{r,N} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx$ elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . It is known that:
 - can find a very small r such that $E_{r,N}$ has rank one (easy), and
 - can use a non-torsion point (hard) $P \in E_{r,N}(\mathbb{Q})$ to factor N (just gcd).
- The problem reduces to find P : algorithm to find rational points on varieties.

On integer factorization

- Let $N = pq$ be an RSA modulus. Want to factor it ...
- Let $E_{r,N} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx$ elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . It is known that:
 - can find a very small r such that $E_{r,N}$ has rank one (easy), and
 - can use a non-torsion point (hard) $P \in E_{r,N}(\mathbb{Q})$ to factor N (just gcd).
- The problem reduces to find P : algorithm to find rational points on varieties.

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Rational points

- Let X/k variety, **rational points** is the set $X(k)$.
- Basically, for k number fields, it contained in **adèlic points** $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$.
- Conversely, $X(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$ implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$?

Rational points

- Let X/k variety, **rational points** is the set $X(k)$.
- Basically, for k number fields, it contained in **adèlic points** $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$.
- Conversely, $X(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$ implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$?

Rational points

- Let X/k variety, **rational points** is the set $X(k)$.
- Basically, for k number fields, it contained in **adèlic points** $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$.
- Conversely, $X(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$ implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$?

Local-global principle

- If so, say that local-global principle holds.
- Not always true: $3X^3 + 4Y^3 + 5Z^3 = 0$ over \mathbb{Q} .
- Then, how to resolve this ?

Local-global principle

- If so, say that local-global principle holds.
- Not always true: $3X^3 + 4Y^3 + 5Z^3 = 0$ over \mathbb{Q} .
- Then, how to resolve this ?

Local-global principle

- If so, say that local-global principle holds.
- Not always true: $3X^3 + 4Y^3 + 5Z^3 = 0$ over \mathbb{Q} .
- Then, how to resolve this ?

Obstructions

- People constructed some subsets $X(k) \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ called **obstructions**.
 - For example, obs can be Br (Brauer-Manin), $(\text{ét}, \text{Br})$ (étale-Brauer), desc (descent) ...
- Point is: for many X , $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \neq \emptyset$ **does** implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$!
- Today: Brauer-Manin obstruction $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, defined by Brauer group and **computable**.

Obstructions

- People constructed some subsets $X(k) \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ called **obstructions**.
 - For example, obs can be Br (Brauer-Manin), $(\text{ét}, \text{Br})$ (étale-Brauer), desc (descent) ...
- Point is: for many X , $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \neq \emptyset$ **does** implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$!
- Today: Brauer-Manin obstruction $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, defined by Brauer group and **computable**.

Obstructions

- People constructed some subsets $X(k) \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ called **obstructions**.
 - For example, obs can be Br (Brauer-Manin), $(\text{ét}, \text{Br})$ (étale-Brauer), desc (descent) ...
- Point is: for many X , $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \neq \emptyset$ **does** implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$!
- Today: Brauer-Manin obstruction $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, defined by Brauer group and **computable**.

Obstructions

- People constructed some subsets $X(k) \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ called **obstructions**.
 - For example, obs can be Br (Brauer-Manin), $(\text{ét}, \text{Br})$ (étale-Brauer), desc (descent) ...
- Point is: for many X , $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \neq \emptyset$ **does** implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$!
- Today: Brauer-Manin obstruction $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, defined by Brauer group and **computable**.

Obstructions

- People constructed some subsets $X(k) \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ called **obstructions**.
 - For example, obs can be Br (Brauer-Manin), $(\text{ét}, \text{Br})$ (étale-Brauer), desc (descent) ...
- Point is: for many X , $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \neq \emptyset$ **does** implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$!
- Today: Brauer-Manin obstruction $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, defined by Brauer group and **computable**.

Obstructions

- People constructed some subsets $X(k) \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \subseteq X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ called **obstructions**.
 - For example, obs can be Br (Brauer-Manin), $(\text{ét}, \text{Br})$ (étale-Brauer), desc (descent) ...
- Point is: for many X , $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{obs}} \neq \emptyset$ **does** implies $X(k) \neq \emptyset$!
- Today: **Brauer-Manin** obstruction $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$, defined by **Brauer group** and **computable**.

Why algebraic stacks

- Varieties are Sch (schemes). There are larger categories of geometric objects $Sch \subset Esp$ (algebraic spaces) $\subset \mathbb{C}hp$ (algebraic stacks).
- To study descent theory on H^2 -level, or moduli spaces classifying geo. obs.
- To apply them back to classical rational points.
- To give a better understanding on finding rational points (especially when equations have huge coefficients).

Why algebraic stacks

- Varieties are Sch (schemes). There are larger categories of geometric objects $Sch \subset Esp$ (algebraic spaces) $\subset \mathbb{C}hp$ (algebraic stacks).
- To study descent theory on H^2 -level, or moduli spaces classifying geo. obs.
- To apply them back to classical rational points.
- To give a better understanding on finding rational points (especially when equations have huge coefficients).

Why algebraic stacks

- Varieties are Sch (schemes). There are larger categories of geometric objects $Sch \subset Esp$ (algebraic spaces) $\subset \mathbb{C}hp$ (algebraic stacks).
- To study descent theory on H^2 -level, or moduli spaces classifying geo. obs.
- To apply them back to classical rational points.
- To give a better understanding on finding rational points (especially when equations have huge coefficients).

Why algebraic stacks

- Varieties are Sch (schemes). There are larger categories of geometric objects $Sch \subset Esp$ (algebraic spaces) $\subset \mathbb{C}hp$ (algebraic stacks).
- To study descent theory on H^2 -level, or moduli spaces classifying geo. obs.
- To apply them back to classical rational points.
- To give a better understanding on finding rational points (especially when equations has huge coefficients).

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

What are alg. stacks

- “Functors” $\mathcal{X} : Sch^{op} \rightarrow Gpd$ (groupoids) that are both “sheaves” and “algebraic” ...
 - Simplest example: BG (classifying stack), $[X/G]$ (quotient stack) ...
- We can do most things on them like on varieties: rat. pts., local-global, obstructions, cohomology ...
 - Especially, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}}$: this is crucial when local-global fails ...

What are alg. stacks

- “Functors” $\mathcal{X} : Sch^{op} \rightarrow Gpd$ (groupoids) that are both “sheaves” and “algebraic” ...
 - Simplest example: BG (classifying stack), $[X/G]$ (quotient stack) ...
- We can do most things on them like on varieties: rat. pts., local-global, obstructions, cohomology ...
 - Especially, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{Br}$: this is crucial when local-global fails ...

What are alg. stacks

- “Functors” $\mathcal{X} : Sch^{op} \rightarrow Gpd$ (groupoids) that are both “sheaves” and “algebraic” ...
 - Simplest example: BG (classifying stack), $[X/G]$ (quotient stack) ...
- We can do most things on them like on varieties: rat. pts., local-global, obstructions, cohomology ...
 - Especially, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{Br}$: this is crucial when local-global fails ...

What are alg. stacks

- “Functors” $\mathcal{X} : Sch^{op} \rightarrow Gpd$ (groupoids) that are both “sheaves” and “algebraic” ...
 - Simplest example: BG (classifying stack), $[X/G]$ (quotient stack) ...
- We can do most things on them like on varieties: rat. pts., local-global, obstructions, cohomology ...
 - Especially, $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{Br}$: this is crucial when local-global fails ...

Example: a results on stacky curves

- Let k be number field, p, q primes, and
- $\mathcal{Y}_{(p,q)} = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_k[X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - pX^2 - qY^2))$,
- acted on by μ_2 : $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [X : Y : -Z]$.

Theorem 2.1 ([WL23])

There exists infinite many (p, q) such that the stacky curve $\mathcal{X}_{(p,q)} = [\mathcal{Y}_{(p,q)} / \mu_2]$ **violating** local-global principle for integral pts.

- The curves has genus $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Generalize Bhargava and Poonen [BP22].

Example: a results on stacky curves

- Let k be number field, p, q primes, and
- $\mathcal{Y}_{(p,q)} = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_k[X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - pX^2 - qY^2))$,
- acted on by μ_2 : $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [X : Y : -Z]$.

Theorem 2.1 ([WL23])

There exists infinite many (p, q) such that the stacky curve $\mathcal{X}_{(p,q)} = [\mathcal{Y}_{(p,q)} / \mu_2]$ **violating** local-global principle for integral pts.

- The curves has genus $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Generalize Bhargava and Poonen [BP22].

Example: a results on stacky curves

- Let k be number field, p, q primes, and
- $\mathcal{Y}_{(p,q)} = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_k[X, Y, Z]/(Z^2 - pX^2 - qY^2))$,
- acted on by μ_2 : $[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [X : Y : -Z]$.

Theorem 2.1 ([WL23])

There exists infinite many (p, q) such that the stacky curve $\mathcal{X}_{(p,q)} = [\mathcal{Y}_{(p,q)} / \mu_2]$ **violating** local-global principle for integral pts.

- The curves has genus $\frac{1}{2}$.
- Generalize Bhargava and Poonen [BP22].

Brauer groups

- Brauer-Grothendieck group $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} = H^2_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{G}_m)$ defined for every alg. stack \mathcal{X} . $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{Br}}$.
 - Simplest example: $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0$, $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{R}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \dots$
- The functor Br creates $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{Br}}$,
$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\mathrm{Br}} = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}) \mid x^* \mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Br} k \rightarrow \mathrm{Br} \mathbf{A}_k)\}.$$

Brauer groups

- Brauer-Grothendieck group $\text{Br } \mathcal{X} = H^2_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{G}_m)$ defined for every alg. stack \mathcal{X} . $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}}$.
 - Simplest example: $\text{Br}(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Br}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0$, $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \dots$
- The functor Br creates $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}}$,
$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}} = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}) \mid x^* \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{im}(\text{Br } k \rightarrow \text{Br } \mathbf{A}_k)\}.$$

Brauer groups

- Brauer-Grothendieck group $\text{Br } \mathcal{X} = H^2_{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{G}_m)$ defined for every alg. stack \mathcal{X} . $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}}$.
 - Simplest example: $\text{Br}(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Br}(\mathbb{F}_q) = 0$, $\text{Br}(\mathbb{R}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \dots$
- The functor Br creates $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}}$,
$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{Br}} = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A}) \mid x^* \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{im}(\text{Br } k \rightarrow \text{Br } \mathbf{A}_k)\}.$$

Obstructions made by functors

- In general, let $q : \mathbf{A}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, for any **stable** functor $F : (\mathbb{C}\text{Chp}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and $A \in F(\mathcal{X})$,

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid A(x) \in \text{im } F(q)\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F = \bigcap_{A \in F(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \text{im } F(x) \subseteq \text{im } F(q)\}.$$

- Then

$$\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Remark

The map $\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is **not** necessary injective. For example, let G be a linear k -group. Then

$$\ker(BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)) = \ker(H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{A}_k, G)) = \text{III}^1(G/k)$$

does not necessarily vanish.

Obstructions made by functors

- In general, let $q : \mathbf{A}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, for any **stable** functor $F : (\mathbb{C}\text{Chp}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and $A \in F(\mathcal{X})$,

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid A(x) \in \text{im } F(q)\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F = \bigcap_{A \in F(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \text{im } F(x) \subseteq \text{im } F(q)\}.$$

- Then

$$\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Remark

The map $\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is **not** necessarily injective. For example, let G be a linear k -group. Then

$$\ker(BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)) = \ker(H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{A}_k, G)) = \text{III}^1(G/k)$$

does not necessarily vanish.

Obstructions made by functors

- In general, let $q : \mathbf{A}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, for any **stable** functor $F : (\mathbb{C}\text{Chp}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and $A \in F(\mathcal{X})$,

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid A(x) \in \text{im } F(q)\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F = \bigcap_{A \in F(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \text{im } F(x) \subseteq \text{im } F(q)\}.$$

- Then

$$\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Remark

The map $\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is **not** necessarily injective. For example, let G be a linear k -group. Then

$$\ker(BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)) = \ker(H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{A}_k, G)) = \text{III}^1(G/k)$$

does not necessarily vanish.

Obstructions made by functors

- In general, let $q : \mathbf{A}_k \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, for any **stable** functor $F : (\mathbb{C}\text{Chp}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and $A \in F(\mathcal{X})$,

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid A(x) \in \text{im } F(q)\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F = \bigcap_{A \in F(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \text{im } F(x) \subseteq \text{im } F(q)\}.$$

- Then

$$\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Remark

The map $\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is **not** necessarily injective. For example, let G be a linear k -group. Then

$$\ker(BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)) = \ker(H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{A}_k, G)) = \text{III}^1(G/k)$$

does not necessarily vanish.

Obstructions made by functors

- In general, let $q : \mathbf{A}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$, for any **stable** functor $F : (\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Chp}/k)^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and $A \in F(\mathcal{X})$,

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid A(x) \in \mathrm{im} F(q)\},$$

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F = \bigcap_{A \in F(\mathcal{X})} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A = \{x \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \mid \mathrm{im} F(x) \subseteq \mathrm{im} F(q)\}.$$

- Then

$$\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^F \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^A \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k).$$

Remark

The map $\mathcal{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is **not** necessarily injective. For example, let G be a linear k -group. Then

$$\ker(BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)) = \ker(H^1(k, G) \rightarrow \check{H}_{\mathrm{fppf}}^1(\mathbf{A}_k, G)) = \mathrm{III}^1(G/k)$$

does not necessarily vanish.

Torsors

- Let G be a k -group, $\mathcal{X}/k \in \mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$, a G -torsor over $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ is a sheaf \mathcal{Y} on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ acted on by G , such that $G \times \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}$.
 - Simplest example: $X^2 + Y^2 = n$ is a G -torsor over \mathbb{Q} where $G : X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.
- They form a groupoid $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$.
- Isomorphism classes $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{G})/\cong$ classified by $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$.

Torsors

- Let G be a k -group, $\mathcal{X}/k \in \mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$, a G -torsor over $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ is a sheaf \mathcal{Y} on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ acted on by G , such that $G \times \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}$.
 - Simplest example: $X^2 + Y^2 = n$ is a G -torsor over \mathbb{Q} where $G : X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.
- They form a groupoid $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$.
- Isomorphism classes $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{G})/\cong$ classified by $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$.

Torsors

- Let G be a k -group, $\mathcal{X}/k \in \mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$, a G -torsor over $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ is a sheaf \mathcal{Y} on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ acted on by G , such that $G \times \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}$.
 - Simplest example: $X^2 + Y^2 = n$ is a G -torsor over \mathbb{Q} where $G : X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.
- They form a groupoid $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$.
- Isomorphism classes $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{G})/\cong$ classified by $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$.

Torsors

- Let G be a k -group, $\mathcal{X}/k \in \mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$, a G -torsor over $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ is a sheaf \mathcal{Y} on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ acted on by G , such that $G \times \mathcal{Y} \cong \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}$.
 - Simplest example: $X^2 + Y^2 = n$ is a G -torsor over \mathbb{Q} where $G : X^2 + Y^2 = 1$.
- They form a groupoid $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$.
- Isomorphism classes $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, \mathcal{G})_{/\cong}$ classified by $\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$.

Torsors are algebraic

Lemma 2.2

- Any torsor $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$ is **algebraic**, i.e., is in $\mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$.
- In particular, a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is in $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathcal{Y}/G]$ is the quotient stack.

Torsors are algebraic

Lemma 2.2

- Any torsor $\mathcal{Y} \in \text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$ is **algebraic**, i.e., is in $\mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$.
- In particular, a 1-morphism of algebraic stacks $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is in $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}, G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathcal{Y}/G]$ is the quotient stack.

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- **Calculation of Brauer groups**
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Sansuc's exact sequence

- Let X be a k -var. and G a **connected** k -group acting on X .
- Let $\mathcal{Y} = [X/G]$ be the **quo. stack**, i.e., $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is in $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{Y}, G)$ (Lem. 2.2).
- Let $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{G}_m/k^\times$, $\text{Pic} = H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, \mathbf{G}_m) \in PSh(Sch/k)$.

Theorem 2.3 ([LW23])

Have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathbf{U} X \rightarrow \mathbf{U} G \rightarrow \text{Pic} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\text{Pic} f} \text{Pic} X \rightarrow \text{Pic} G \rightarrow \\ \text{Br} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \text{Br} X \xrightarrow{\rho^* \circ p_2^*} \text{Br}(G \times_k X),$$

where $\rho, p_2 : G \times_k X \rightarrow X$ is the action and projection.

- Extend classical one by Sansuc [San81].

Sansuc's exact sequence

- Let X be a k -var. and G a **connected** k -group acting on X .
- Let $\mathcal{Y} = [X/G]$ be the **quo. stack**, i.e., $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is in $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{Y}, G)$ (Lem. 2.2).
- Let $\mathsf{U} = \mathbf{G}_m/k^\times$, $\text{Pic} = H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, \mathbf{G}_m) \in \mathcal{PSh}(\mathcal{S}ch/k)$.

Theorem 2.3 ([LW23])

Have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathsf{U} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathsf{U} X \rightarrow \mathsf{U} G \rightarrow \text{Pic } \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Pic } G \rightarrow \\ \text{Br } \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Br } X \xrightarrow{\rho^* - p_2^*} \text{Br}(G \times_k X),$$

where $\rho, p_2 : G \times_k X \rightarrow X$ is the action and projection.

- Extend classical one by Sansuc [San81].

Sansuc's exact sequence

- Let X be a k -var. and G a **connected** k -group acting on X .
- Let $\mathcal{Y} = [X/G]$ be the **quo. stack**, i.e., $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is in $\text{Tors}(\mathcal{Y}, G)$ (Lem. 2.2).
- Let $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{G}_m/k^\times$, $\text{Pic} = H_{\text{ét}}^1(-, \mathbf{G}_m) \in PSh(Sch/k)$.

Theorem 2.3 ([LW23])

Have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{U} \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \mathbf{U} X \rightarrow \mathbf{U} G \rightarrow \text{Pic } \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Pic } X \rightarrow \text{Pic } G \rightarrow \\ \text{Br } \mathcal{Y} \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Br } X \xrightarrow{\rho^* - p_2^*} \text{Br}(G \times_k X),$$

where $\rho, p_2 : G \times_k X \rightarrow X$ is the action and projection.

- Extend classical one by Sansuc [San81].

Torsionness of the Brauer group

- Grothendieck [Gro68] showed that $\mathrm{Br} X$ is torsion for a regular scheme X .

Corollary 2.4 ([LW23])

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathrm{Chp}/k$ which can be covered by finitely many open substacks $[X_i/G_i]$ where X_i is a smooth k -var. and G_i a linear k -group acting on X_i . Then $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ is torsion.

Remark

Antieau and Meier [AM20] showed that Brauer groups of regular Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack are torsion.

Torsionness of the Brauer group

- Grothendieck [Gro68] showed that $\mathrm{Br} X$ is torsion for a regular scheme X .

Corollary 2.4 ([LW23])

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Chp}/k$ which can be covered by finitely many open substacks $[X_i/G_i]$ where X_i is a smooth k -var. and G_i a linear k -group acting on X_i . Then $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ is torsion.

Remark

Antieau and Meier [AM20] showed that Brauer groups of regular Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack are torsion.

Torsionness of the Brauer group

- Grothendieck [Gro68] showed that $\mathrm{Br} X$ is torsion for a regular scheme X .

Corollary 2.4 ([LW23])

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Chp}/k$ which can be covered by finitely many open substacks $[X_i/G_i]$ where X_i is a smooth k -var. and G_i a linear k -group acting on X_i . Then $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ is torsion.

Remark

Antieau and Meier [AM20] showed that Brauer groups of regular Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack are torsion.

Torsionness of the Brauer group

- Grothendieck [Gro68] showed that $\mathrm{Br} X$ is torsion for a regular scheme X .

Corollary 2.4 ([LW23])

Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{Chp}/k$ which can be covered by finitely many open substacks $[X_i/G_i]$ where X_i is a smooth k -var. and G_i a linear k -group acting on X_i . Then $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ is torsion.

Remark

Antieau and Meier [AM20] showed that Brauer groups of regular Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack are torsion.

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- **Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing**
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Fundamental exact sequence

- Let $D(k)$ be derived category of complexes of k -modules.
- Let S be a k -group of **multiplicative type**,
- whose Cartier dual \hat{S} is a finitely generated k -module.
- Let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be an alg. stack, and $\text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})$ be cone of $\mathbf{G}_m[1] \rightarrow Rp_*\mathbf{G}_m[1]$ in $D(k)$.

Theorem 2.5

Have the *fundamental exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S) \xrightarrow{\chi} \text{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S),$$

where the map χ is the *extended type*.

Fundamental exact sequence

- Let $D(k)$ be derived category of complexes of k -modules.
- Let S be a k -group of **multiplicative type**,
- whose Cartier dual \hat{S} is a finitely generated k -module.
- Let $p : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ be an alg. stack, and $\text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})$ be cone of $\mathbf{G}_m[1] \rightarrow Rp_*\mathbf{G}_m[1]$ in $D(k)$.

Theorem 2.5

Have the **fundamental exact sequence**

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S) \xrightarrow{\chi} \text{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \text{KD}'(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^2(k, S) \xrightarrow{p^*} H^2_{\text{fppf}}(\mathcal{X}, S),$$

where the map χ is the **extended type**.

Descent

- Let $a \in H^1(k, \hat{S})$ and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{hp}/k$.
- I [Lv22] gave a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1(\mathcal{X}, S) & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathrm{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \mathrm{KD}'(\mathcal{X})) \\
 \downarrow p^*(a) \cup - & & \downarrow a \cup - \\
 \mathrm{Br}_1 \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{r} & H^1(k, \mathrm{KD}'(\mathcal{X})).
 \end{array}$$

- Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an S -torsor. Define $\lambda = \chi([f])$, and
- $\mathrm{Br}_\lambda \mathcal{X} = r^{-1}(\lambda_*(H^1(k, \hat{S}))) \subseteq \mathrm{Br}_1 \mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 2.6 ([LW23])

We have $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^f = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_\lambda}$

Descent

- Let $a \in H^1(k, \hat{S})$ and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{hp}/k$.
- I [Lv22] gave a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1(\mathcal{X}, S) & \xrightarrow{\chi} & \mathrm{Hom}_{D(k)}(\hat{S}, \mathrm{KD}'(\mathcal{X})) \\
 \downarrow p^*(a) \cup - & & \downarrow a \cup - \\
 \mathrm{Br}_1 \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{r} & H^1(k, \mathrm{KD}'(\mathcal{X})).
 \end{array}$$

- Let $f : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an S -torsor. Define $\lambda = \chi([f])$, and
- $\mathrm{Br}_\lambda \mathcal{X} = r^{-1}(\lambda_*(H^1(k, \hat{S}))) \subseteq \mathrm{Br}_1 \mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 2.6 ([LW23])

We have $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^f = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_\lambda}$

The Brauer-Manin pairing

- Suppose that \mathcal{X} is of finite type over k .
- As in classical case, the Brauer-Manin pairing for \mathcal{X}

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \times \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

$$((x_v)_v, A) \mapsto \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v),$$

is well-defined,

- and the Brauer-Manin set $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ **coincides** with the classical definition using $\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}}$.

The Brauer-Manin pairing

- Suppose that \mathcal{X} is of finite type over k .
- As in classical case, the **Brauer-Manin pairing** for \mathcal{X}

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \times \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

$$((x_v)_v, A) \mapsto \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v),$$

is well-defined,

- and the Brauer-Manin set $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ **coincides** with the classical definition using $\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}}$.

The Brauer-Manin pairing

- Suppose that \mathcal{X} is of finite type over k .
- As in classical case, the **Brauer-Manin pairing** for \mathcal{X}

$$\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \times \text{Br } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

$$((x_v)_v, A) \mapsto \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v),$$

is well-defined,

- and the Brauer-Manin set $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ **coincides** with the classical definition using $\langle -, - \rangle_{\text{BM}}$.

The Brauer-Manin pairing (a variant)

- Writing $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_k k_v$, one also defines

$$\mathsf{B}\mathcal{X} = \ker(\mathsf{Br}_a \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathsf{Br}_a \mathcal{X}_v).$$

- For $A \in \mathsf{B}\mathcal{X}$ and $(x_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$,
 $\langle (x_v), A \rangle_{\text{BM}} = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v)$ does not depend on the choice of (x_v) .
- Upshot: assuming $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a well-defined map

$$i = \langle (x_v), - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathsf{B}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

The Brauer-Manin pairing (a variant)

- Writing $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_k k_v$, one also defines

$$\mathsf{B}\mathcal{X} = \ker(\mathsf{Br}_a \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathsf{Br}_a \mathcal{X}_v).$$

- For $A \in \mathsf{B}\mathcal{X}$ and $(x_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$,
 $\langle (x_v), A \rangle_{\text{BM}} = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v)$ does not depend on the choice of (x_v) .
- Upshot: assuming $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a well-defined map

$$i = \langle (x_v), - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathsf{B}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

The Brauer-Manin pairing (a variant)

- Writing $\mathcal{X}_v = \mathcal{X} \times_k k_v$, one also defines

$$\mathsf{B}\mathcal{X} = \ker(\mathsf{Br}_a \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathsf{Br}_a \mathcal{X}_v).$$

- For $A \in \mathsf{B}\mathcal{X}$ and $(x_v) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$,
 $\langle (x_v), A \rangle_{\text{BM}} = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \text{inv}_v A(x_v)$ does not depend on the choice of (x_v) .
- Upshot: assuming $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a well-defined map

$$i = \langle (x_v), - \rangle_{\text{BM}} : \mathsf{B}\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- **Comparison to other cohomological. obs.**
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Descent and second descent obstruction

Definition 2.7

The **descent obstruction** is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)}.$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}} = \bigcap_{\text{conn. linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)},$$

and the **second descent obstruction** (c.f. [Lv21]) is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{commutative linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)}.$$

Descent and second descent obstruction

Definition 2.7

The **descent obstruction** is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)}.$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}} = \bigcap_{\text{conn. linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)},$$

and the *second descent obstruction* (c.f. [Lv21]) is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{commutative linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)}.$$

Descent and second descent obstruction

Definition 2.7

The **descent obstruction** is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)}.$$

We also define

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}} = \bigcap_{\text{conn. linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\check{H}_{\text{fppf}}^1(-, G)},$$

and the **second descent obstruction** (c.f. [Lv21]) is

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc}} = \bigcap_{\text{commutative linear } k\text{-group } G} \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{H_{\text{ét}}^2(-, G)}.$$

Comparison of obstructions

Theorem 2.8

Let X be a smooth alg. k -stack of f.t. that is either DM or Zariski-locally quo. of k -var. by a linear k -group. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc}}.$$

Theorem 2.9

Let X be an alg. k -stack. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}}.$$

- Extend Harari [Har02].

Comparison of obstructions

Theorem 2.8

Let X be a smooth alg. k -stack of f.t. that is either DM or Zariski-locally quo. of k -var. by a linear k -group. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc}}.$$

Theorem 2.9

Let X be an alg. k -stack. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}}.$$

- Extend Harari [Har02].

Comparison of obstructions

Theorem 2.8

Let X be a smooth alg. k -stack of f.t. that is either DM or Zariski-locally quo. of k -var. by a linear k -group. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc}}.$$

Theorem 2.9

Let X be an alg. k -stack. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{conn}}.$$

- Extend Harari [Har02].

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- **Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor**
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor

- Let $\mathcal{X} = [Y/G]$ where Y is a smooth geometrically integral k -var. and G a conn. linear k -group acting on Y .
- Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonic map making Y a G -torsor over \mathcal{X} .
- One also defines **invariant Brauer subgroup** (as in Cao [Cao18]) to be

$$\mathrm{Br}_G \mathcal{X} = \{b \in \mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} \mid \rho^* b - p_2^* b \in p_1^* \mathrm{Br} G\}$$

Theorem 2.10

Have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_{G_\sigma}(Y_\sigma)}).$$

- Key is to modify Sansuc exact seq. (Thm. 2.3) using Br_G .
- This extends Cao [Cao18].

Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor

- Let $\mathcal{X} = [Y/G]$ where Y is a smooth geometrically integral k -var. and G a conn. linear k -group acting on Y .
- Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonic map making Y a G -torsor over \mathcal{X} .
- One also defines **invariant Brauer subgroup** (as in Cao [Cao18]) to be

$$\mathrm{Br}_G \mathcal{X} = \{b \in \mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} \mid \rho^* b - p_2^* b \in p_1^* \mathrm{Br} G\}$$

Theorem 2.10

Have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_{G_\sigma}(Y_\sigma)}).$$

- Key is to modify Sansuc exact seq. (Thm. 2.3) using Br_G .
- This extends Cao [Cao18].

Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor

- Let $\mathcal{X} = [Y/G]$ where Y is a smooth geometrically integral k -var. and G a conn. linear k -group acting on Y .
- Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonic map making Y a G -torsor over \mathcal{X} .
- One also defines **invariant Brauer subgroup** (as in Cao [Cao18]) to be

$$\mathrm{Br}_G \mathcal{X} = \{b \in \mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} \mid \rho^* b - p_2^* b \in p_1^* \mathrm{Br} G\}$$

Theorem 2.10

Have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_{G_\sigma}(Y_\sigma)}).$$

- Key is to modify Sansuc exact seq. (Thm. 2.3) using Br_G .
- This extends Cao [Cao18].

Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor

- Let $\mathcal{X} = [Y/G]$ where Y is a smooth geometrically integral k -var. and G a conn. linear k -group acting on Y .
- Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonic map making Y a G -torsor over \mathcal{X} .
- One also defines **invariant Brauer subgroup** (as in Cao [Cao18]) to be

$$\mathrm{Br}_G \mathcal{X} = \{b \in \mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} \mid \rho^* b - p_2^* b \in p_1^* \mathrm{Br} G\}$$

Theorem 2.10

Have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_{G_\sigma}(Y_\sigma)}).$$

- Key is to modify Sansuc exact seq. (Thm. 2.3) using Br_G .
- This extends Cao [Cao18].

Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor

- Let $\mathcal{X} = [Y/G]$ where Y is a smooth geometrically integral k -var. and G a conn. linear k -group acting on Y .
- Let $f : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the canonic map making Y a G -torsor over \mathcal{X} .
- One also defines **invariant Brauer subgroup** (as in Cao [Cao18]) to be

$$\mathrm{Br}_G \mathcal{X} = \{b \in \mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X} \mid \rho^* b - p_2^* b \in p_1^* \mathrm{Br} G\}$$

Theorem 2.10

Have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} f_\sigma(Y_\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_{G_\sigma}(Y_\sigma)}).$$

- Key is to modify Sansuc exact seq. (Thm. 2.3) using Br_G .
- This extends Cao [Cao18].

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- **Brauer-Manin set under a product**

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Known results

- Let k be a number field.
- The **product preservation** property of Brauer-Manin set was first established by Skorobogatov and Zarhin [SZ14] for smooth geo. int. **projective k -vars.**,
- and later by me [Lv20] for **open ones**.

Known results

- Let k be a number field.
- The [product preservation](#) property of Brauer-Manin set was first established by Skorobogatov and Zarhin [SZ14] for smooth geo. int. [projective \$k\$ -vars.](#),
- and later by me [Lv20] for [open ones](#).

Product of alg. stacks

Theorem 2.11

The functor

$$-(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} : \mathbb{C}\text{hp}_1/k \rightarrow \mathbb{S}\text{et}$$

preserves finite product, where $\mathbb{C}\text{hp}_1/k \subset \mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$ is the full sub-2-category spanned by smooth alg. k -stacks of f.t.

- admitting separated and geo. int. atlases X s.t. $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$,
- and is either DM or Zariski-locally quos. of k -var. by linear k -groups.

Product of alg. stacks

Theorem 2.11

The functor

$$-(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} : \mathbb{C}\text{hp}_1/k \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

preserves finite product, where $\mathbb{C}\text{hp}_1/k \subset \mathbb{C}\text{hp}/k$ is the full sub-2-category spanned by smooth alg. k -stacks of f.t

- admitting separated and geo. int. atlases X s.t. $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$,
- and is either DM or Zariski-locally quos. of k -var. by linear k -groups.

Key ingredients of proof

- The **torsionness** of $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ (Cor. 2.4).
- Existence of **universal torsor** of n -torsion
- Künneth formula for $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(-, \mu_n)$ on \bar{k} , $i = 1, 2$:
 - Künneth for stacks $Rp_* K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L Rq_* L \cong R(p \times q)_*(K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L L)$ (coh. desc),
 - Smooth base change $p^* Rf_* \rightarrow Rg_* q^*$ for stacks (Liu and Zheng [LZ17]).

Key ingredients of proof

- The **torsionness** of $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ (Cor. 2.4).
- Existence of **universal torsor** of n -torsion
- Künneth formula for $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(-, \mu_n)$ on \bar{k} , $i = 1, 2$:
 - Künneth for stacks $Rp_* K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L Rq_* L \cong R(p \times q)_*(K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L L)$ (coh. desc),
 - Smooth base change $p^* Rf_* \rightarrow Rg_* q^*$ for stacks (Liu and Zheng [LZ17]).

Key ingredients of proof

- The **torsionness** of $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ (Cor. 2.4).
- Existence of **universal torsor** of n -torsion
- Künneth formula for $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(-, \mu_n)$ on \overline{k} , $i = 1, 2$:
 - Künneth for stacks $Rp_* K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L Rq_* L \cong R(p \times q)_*(K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L L)$ (coh. desc),
 - Smooth base change $p^* Rf_* \rightarrow Rg_* q^*$ for stacks (Liu and Zheng [LZ17]).

Key ingredients of proof

- The **torsionness** of $\mathrm{Br} \mathcal{X}$ (Cor. 2.4).
- Existence of **universal torsor** of n -torsion
- Künneth formula for $H_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}^i(-, \mu_n)$ on \overline{k} , $i = 1, 2$:
 - **Künneth** for stacks $Rp_* K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L Rq_* L \cong R(p \times q)_*(K \boxtimes_{\Lambda}^L L)$ (coh. desc),
 - **Smooth base change** $p^* Rf_* \rightarrow Rg_* q^*$ for stacks (Liu and Zheng [LZ17]).

Corollary 2.12

If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are stacks quos. of smooth geo. int. k -varieties by conn. linear k -groups. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \times \mathcal{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{X} \times_k \mathcal{Y})(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$$

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Open problems

- Do we have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \supseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}} \cong \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{desc}} \cong \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{desc,desc}} ?$$

(it is true for varieties)

- Is $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc,desc}}$ really smaller than $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{desc}}$?

Open problems

- Do we have

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \supseteq \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}} \cong \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{desc}} \cong \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{desc,desc}} ?$$

(it is true for varieties)

- Is $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{2-desc,desc}}$ really **smaller** than $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{A})^{\text{desc}}$?

Outline

1 Background

- Motivation
- Basic definition

2 Main results

- Algebraic stacks, Brauer groups and torsors
- Calculation of Brauer groups
- Descent theory and the Brauer-Manin pairing
- Comparison to other cohomological. obs.
- Descent for Brauer-Manin set along a torsor
- Brauer-Manin set under a product

3 What's next ?

- Open problems
- Applications

Applications

- Use these to develop an effective algorithm finding rational points ...
- Especially $E(Q)$ where $E : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx \cdots$

Applications

- Use these to develop an effective algorithm finding rational points ...
- Especially $E(Q)$ where $E : y^2 = x^3 - 2rNx \cdots$

Thanks for your attention.

- [AM20] Benjamin Antieau and Lennart Meier, *The Brauer group of the moduli stack of elliptic curves*, Algebra Number Theory **14** (2020), no. 9, 2295–2333. MR 4172709
- [BP22] Manjul Bhargava and Bjorn Poonen, *The local-global principle for integral points on stacky curves*, J. Algebraic Geom. **31** (2022), no. 4, 773–782. MR 4484553
- [Cao18] Yang Cao, *Approximation forte pour les variétés avec une action d'un groupe linéaire*, Compos. Math. **154** (2018), no. 4, 773–819. MR 3778194
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. I-III*, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 46–66, 67–87, 88–188.
- [Har02] David Harari, *Groupes algébriques et points rationnels*, Math. Ann. **322** (2002), no. 4, 811–826. MR 1905103
- [Lv20] Chang Lv, *A note on the Brauer group and the Brauer-Manin set of a product*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **52** (2020), no. 5, 932–941. MR 4171413
- [Lv21] ———, *The second descent obstruction and gerbes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.06915v2 (2021), 1–31.
- [Lv22] ———, *On commutative diagrams consisting of low term exact sequences*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.14386v2 (2022), 1–9.
- [LW23] Chang Lv and Han Wu, *The Brauer-Manin obstruction on algebraic stacks*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14426v2 (2023), 1–16.
- [LZ17] Yifeng Liu and Weizhe Zheng, *Enhanced six operations and base change theorem for higher Artin stacks*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1211.5948v3 (2017).
- [San81] J-J Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **327** (1981), 12–80.
- [SZ14] Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Yuri G. Zarhin, *The Brauer group and the Brauer-Manin set of products of varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16** (2014), no. 4, 749–768. MR 3191975
- [WL23] Han Wu and Chang Lv, *Genus one half stacky curves violating the local-global principle*, to appear on Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux (2023), 1–5.